

GVPT 722: Simpler example of interpreting and illustrating interactive effects — Does religious commitment have a greater impact on the political evaluations of evangelical Protestants than on those of non-evangelical Christians?

(1) Regression of feeling thermometer ratings of conservatives (recoded to range from 0 to 1 - *contherm01*) on a dummy variable for evangelicals (*evang2*), the religious commitment scale (*commit* - ranging from 0 to 1), and their interaction (*evancomm*) for Christian religious traditions only ("if *denom2*<5" means that regression is only for evangelical Protestants, mainline Protestants, black Protestants, and Catholics. Controls are included for region of residence (dummy variable for southerners (*south*)), gender (dummy variable for women (*female*)), race (dummy variable for whites (*white*)), education level (*educ*), income level (*income01*), and feeling thermometer ratings of liberals (recoded to range from 0 to 1 - *libtherm01*).

```
. reg contherm01 evang2 commit evancomm south female white educ income01 libtherm01 if
denom2<5
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	614
Model	2.4777554	9	.275306156	F(9, 604) =	8.28
Residual	20.0712139	604	.033230487	Prob > F	= 0.0000
Total	22.5489693	613	.036784615	R-squared	= 0.1099
				Adj R-squared	= 0.0966
				Root MSE	= .18229

contherm01	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
evang2	-.1011775	.0525055	-1.93	0.054	-.204293 .0019381
commit	.0976955	.0374927	2.61	0.009	.0240637 .1713273
evancomm	.1926198	.0735004	2.62	0.009	.0482724 .3369671
south	.0324863	.015805	2.06	0.040	.0014469 .0635258
female	-.0117823	.0154475	-0.76	0.446	-.0421197 .018555
white	.0274139	.0181524	1.51	0.132	-.0082356 .0630633
educ	-.011768	.0308271	-0.38	0.703	-.0723093 .0487733
income01	.0708926	.0313034	2.26	0.024	.0094159 .1323693
libtherm01	-.1252726	.0388444	-3.22	0.001	-.201559 -.0489861
_cons	.5619846	.043144	13.03	0.000	.4772541 .646715

(2) Predicting the effect of religious commitment on ratings of conservatives for non-evangelicals and for evangelicals (holding the evangelical dummy at either 0 or 1, allowing commitment to vary across its full range, and holding the control variables constant at their means):

```
. summ south female white educ income01 libtherm01
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
south	1212	.3440594	.4752567	0	1
female	1212	.5330033	.4991155	0	1
white	1212	.7227723	.4478147	0	1
educ	1211	.5510597	.2680294	0	1
income01	1070	.6338573	.2727689	0	1
libtherm01	1014	.5540237	.2070523	0	1

```
. gen nonevanslope=_b[_cons]+(_b[evang2]*0)+(_b[commit]*commit)+(_b[evancomm]*0*commit)
+(_b[south]*.34)+(_b[female]*.53)+(_b[white ]*.72)+(_b[educ]*.55)+(_b[income01]*.63)+
(_b[libtherm01]*.55)
(30 missing values generated)
```

```
. gen evanslope=_b[_cons]+(_b[evang2]*1)+(_b[commit]*commit)+(_b[evancomm]*1*commit)
+(_b[south]*.34)+(_b[female]*.53)+(_b[white]*.72)+(_b[educ]*.55)+(_b[income01]*.63)+
(_b[libtherm01]*.55)
(30 missing values generated)
```

(3) Graph of the effect of religious commitment on ratings of conservatives for evangelicals and non-evangelical Christians

```
. twoway (connected nonevanslope commit, sort msymbol(none) clcolor(black) clpat(dash))  
(connected evanslope commit, sort msymbol(none) clcolor(black) clpat(solid)),  
ytitle(Predicted Ratings of Conservatives, margin(medsmall)) ylabel(0(.2)1)  
xtitle(Religious Commitment, margin(medsmall)) xlabel(0(.2)1) title(The Impact of  
Religious Commitment on Ratings of Conservatives, size(medium)) subtitle(for  
Evangelical and Non-Evangelical Christians, size(medium)) legend(order(1  
"Non-Evangelicals" 2 "Evangelicals"))
```

